

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF OREGON
PORTLAND DIVISION

GERALD BLOCK,
individually and on behalf
of all others,

Case No. 3:17-cv-01808-YY
COVER PAGE

Plaintiff,

v.

FIP, LLC, a Nevada limited
liability company,

Defendant.

Exhibit 2

COVER PAGE

Exhibit 2 – Page 1 of 4

15 Plaintiff's Motion For Temporary Restraining Order And Order To Show Cause Why a
16 Preliminary Injunction Should Not Enter came before the Court ex parte on May 10, 2018.

17 Based on the record, the Declarations of Jermaine F. Brown, Assistant Attorney General,
18 Department of Justice; Shiori Gold, Paralegal, Department of Justice; Debbie Myers, Financial
19 Examiner, Department of Consumer and Business Services; Marlene Olson, Investigator,
20 Department of Justice; and Rachel Royston, Investigator, Department of Consumer and Business
21 Services, the Court finds:

22 1. Plaintiff attempted to notify Defendants of the motion, as follows:

23 a. By overnight mail, to defendant Future Income Payments, LLC at the

24 address of its registered agent in Wilmington, Delaware;

25 b. By overnight mail, to defendant FIP, LLC at the address of its registered

26 agent in Carson City, Nevada;

c. By overnight mail, to defendant Scott A. Kohn, at 8628 Titleist Circle, Las NV 89117; and,

d. By email to Laura Caldera, Bullivant Houser Bailey, counsel for FIP

Future Income Payments, LLC, 17-CV-01808 (D Or filed Nov 11, 2017).

2. Good cause exists for the issuance of a temporary restraining order and order to
ause why a preliminary injunction should not issue.

HEREFORE ORDERED as follows:

Defendants FUTURE INCOME PAYMENTS, LLC f/k/a Pensions, Annuities and
ents, LLC, FIP, LLC, and SCOTT A. KOHN, their officers, agents, servants, employees,
yers, and all other persons in active concert or participation with any of them who
actual notice of this order, by personal service or otherwise, are hereby enjoined and
ed from:

- a. Violating any provision of the Oregon Consumer Finance Act or the administrative rules promulgated thereunder, including, but not limited to, making consumer loans or acting as an agent, broker, or facilitator for makers of consumer finance loans;

b. Engaging in any business in Oregon in which defendants loan money, or otherwise extend credit, to Oregon consumers;

- c. Advertising to, soliciting or entering into any agreement with an Oregon consumer in which defendants agree to advance money to an Oregon consumer in exchange for the consumer's promise to assign, transfer, or otherwise convey any portion of the consumer's unpaid earnings, including wages, pension, or any other guaranteed future income, to defendants;

d. Withdrawing moneys from any Oregon borrower's or consumer's account or otherwise collecting, receiving or retaining any principal, interest, fees or

1 charges related to or in connection with the consumer finance loans
2 defendants made to Oregon residents; and,
3 e. Selling or assigning the void and unenforceable debts to a debt buyer, debt
4 collector or other person for collection.

5 2. Defendants shall appear before this court on May 17, 2018, at 9:00a.m., in Room
6 208 of the Multnomah County Courthouse, for a call on for hearing to show cause, if there be
7 any, why the activities described above should not continue to be restrained during the pendency
8 of this action.

9 3. The order contained in paragraph 1 above shall expire on May 18, 2018 at
10 5:00p.m., unless extended by further order of this court.

11
12 DATED this 10 day of May, 2018.

13
14 STEPHEN K. BUSHONG
15

16
17 Circuit Court Judge
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

16 Submitted by:
17 Jermaine F. Brown #073415
Of Attorneys for Plaintiff